

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,531	06/25/2003	Helmut Jerg	2000P13026WOUS	4119
46726 DSU HOME A	7590 05/10/200 DDI IANICES COPPOR	EXAMINER		
BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD			DRODGE, JOSEPH W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BERN, I	NEW BERN, NC 28562		1723	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/603,531	JERG, HELMUT			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAIL INC DATE of the	Joseph W. Drodge	1723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuous and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	larch 2007				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	· <del></del>					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
· _						
7/63	Claim(s) <u>8,10-12,14 and 16-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>8,14 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>0,14 and 19</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>10-12,16-18 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	4				
	•					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		<b>F</b> orming an			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
ייויי	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	arminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IGHT PTO-132.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
* \$	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Infor	( E					
0.0 / 1.7						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alabaster patent 3,122,148.

Alabaster discloses filter body 9 whose top-most openings are covered or screened by an element 24 which changes state by flexing in response to heating of the fluid medium (column 4, lines 4-9 and 24-30 and figure 4). However, Alabaster does not disclose plural such elements 24, although disclosing the option of having plural screening baffles 12 covering openings of the filter body 9 to minimize overspilling or filter by-passing (column 4, lines 4-12 and figure 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Alabster device by having plural rather than a single element 24, in order to more completely minimize over-spilling or filter by-passing. Also, duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Regarding Claims 14 and 19, Alabaster discloses the filter utilized to filter water used in a dishwater appliance (column 1, lines 10-22, etc.). Figure 5 illustrates the filter openings 9 to be proximate the flexing baffle elements 12 so as to together form openings that have a varying cross-sectional area depending upon the state or positions of elements 12 that vary according to degree of heat. For claim 19, the bending of the elements 12 or 24 is considered a flap-like movement, hence elements 12 or 24 form flap-like elements.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12, 16-18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

Regarding Claim 10-12 and 16-18, Alabaster does not teach that the screening or covering elements are punched out of the filter body and are in the shape of tongues positioned in the filter openings. None of the covering elements 12 or 24 of Alabaster are positioned in filter openings, instead are proximate the surfaces of the filter body.

Claim 20 distinguishes in view of recitation of the elements being in the form of clips which in one state thereof are positioned in the filter openings and in another state thereof are moved by a selected one of bending or pivoting out of the plane of the filter body.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,531

Art Unit: 1723

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on March 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is argued that elements 12 and 24 of Alabaster are a baffle and not temperature-sensitive elements of a filter. However, the elements are proximate the elements of the filter openings themselves, hence cover the filter elements and thus meet the limitations of the rejected claims.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

Application/Control Number: 10/603,531

Art Unit: 1723

8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin, can reached at 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**JWD** 

May 2, 2007